

ORIGINAL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

2  
**FILED**

JUN 08 2006  
CLERK'S OFFICE  
U. S. DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN MICHIGAN

JAHRED L. SANBORN,

Petitioner,

v.

HUGH WOLFENBARGER,

Respondent.

CASE NO. 2:06-cv-10157

HONORABLE ARTHUR J. TARNOV  
MAGISTRATE JUDGE KOMIVES

**ORDER**  
**DIRECTING THE CLERK TO MAKE COPIES**  
**AND**  
**REQUIRING RESPONDENT TO FILE A RESPONSIVE PLEADING**

On January 11, 2006, petitioner Jahred L. Sanborn filed a *pro se* petition for the writ of habeas corpus with several exhibits. Because he did not attach a set of exhibits to each of the copies of the habeas petition, the Court ordered him to submit two additional sets of exhibits. Petitioner still has not complied with the Court's orders. However, on further review of the matter, the Court declines to require Petitioner to make the necessary copies. The Court ORDERS the Clerk of Court to make the appropriate copies and to serve a copy of the petition, exhibits, and this order on Respondent and on the Attorney General for the State of Michigan by first class mail. See Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts.

*Sanborn v. Wolfenbarger*, No. 2:06-cv-10157

Respondent shall file a responsive pleading, a copy of the relevant transcripts, appellate briefs, and state appellate opinions and orders, and an index listing the materials being submitted. See Rule 5 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. These items shall be due on or before **AUGUST 14, 2006**. Petitioner shall have **forty-five (45) days** from the date of the responsive pleading to file a reply.



ARTHUR J. TARNOW  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: 6/8/06

PURSUANT TO RULE 77(D), FRCP  
COPIES HAVE BEEN MAILED TO ~~THE~~  
~~ATTORNEYS FOR ALL PARTIES ON~~

June 9, 2006  
Cathy A. Pickles  
DEPUTY CLERK

*James Sanborn*